



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,028	762,028 01/20/2004		Hisashi Yamamoto	7814/93	3933
757	7590	03/06/2006		EXAMINER	
		ILSON & LIONE	DENTZ, BERNARD I		
P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1625	
				DATE MAILED: 03/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/762,028	YAMAMOTO ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Bernard Dentz	1625
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DEPLICATION OF THE	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
· —	•	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7,10,39 and 40</u> is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,9, 11-38 and 41-50</u> is/are reject Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	e withdrawn from consideration.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to be specification.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) [a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/762,028

Art Unit: 1625

Applicants have responded to the final rejection by filing a Notice of Appeal and the Appeal Brief.

On studying the case the Examiner has decide to withdraw the final rejection in order to make a 35 USC 112 rejection.

He has also in studying the Michaelson et al reference noticed that some information using molybdenum bishydroxymates [O₂Mo(hydroxymate)₂] was given at p.1990, col.2. There it states that these molybdenum complexes gave poor (<2%) asymmetric inductions.

This teaching shows that the structure of the chiral bishydroxamic acid in the chiral bishydroxamic acid ligand is very important in giving asymmetric inductions.

This is evidence for patentability of the instant catalytic asymmetric epoxidation using applicants' particular class of chiral bishydroxamic acids.

However the recitation in claim 1 of "chiral bishydroxamic acid ligand and a metal" is very broad, reading on all kind of structures only limited by 2 hydroxamic acid groups therein. Of course it also reads on the above compounds taught by Michaelson et al to give poor asymmetric induction in the instant epoxidation reaction.

Claims 1,3,5,6,8,9,28,31,32,34,35 and 46-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Michaelson et al for the reasons given above. See also P. 1991 note(16).

Claims 1,3-6,8,9,27-38 and 42-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Michaelson et al. The instant processes containing the corresponding vanadium bis hydroxamates, using alkenes and cycloalkenes not specifically disclosed

Application/Control Number: 10/762,028

.

Art Unit: 1625

by the reference would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Use of chiral bishydroxamic acid ligand and a metal broadly is considered obvious in view of the reference.

It is only the particular class of chiral bishydroxamic acid ligand shown in the structure in claim 2 and claim 41 where good asymmetric induction has been shown.

Claims 1-6, 8,9, 11-38 and 41-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the structures of claims 2 and 41, does not reasonably provide enablement for "chiral bishydroxamic acid ligand" broadly. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. "Catalytic asymmetric oxidation" of a "substrate" to produce "a chiral oxidation product" is not enabled. "Substrate" and "chiral oxidation product" are broader than what is enabled by the specification. See p. 2 of the specification at lines 2-4 recites the asymmetric epoxidation of olefins and the asymmetric oxidation of sulfides and phosphines. This is what is enabled.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim*s 1-6,8,9,11-38 and 41-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Independent claim 1 must be limited to the elected epoxidation of an alkene or a cyclic alkene. The product must be

Application/Control Number: 10/762,028

Art Unit: 1625

recited as "a chiral epoxide". The instant broad language includes the non-elected subject matter.

Claims 1-6, 9,12-27,29,31-33,37,41 and 48-50 are objected to for misjoinder of invention. Limitation of claim 1 as suggested directly above would remove this objection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernard Dentz whose telephone number is 571-272-0683. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 8 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang, can be reached on 571 272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dentz

3-1-2006

BERNARD DENTZ PRIMARY EXAMINER

B Conty

Page 4